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THE ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTIOMI........
As forecast in Notebook, the A.G.M. agreed the annual subscription should be increased to $£ 3$ with effect from the current year.
The ' budget ' for the year prepared by the Hon. Treasurer made it quite clear that the $90 \%$ of costs related to printing and postages and without the ' subsidy' from the Editor's previous employment of ' paper-only ' costs, it was necessary. A cross in the box indicates an early cheque to the H.T. will be welcome.


On the question of printing, the questionnaires which are enclosed in this mailing cost $£ 1$ each, so please make full use of them. Happily a substantial donation to the total bill reduces the effect on Group funds, but this indicates the size of the problem.

HFRWITH MY FRANK........A Supplement by J.W. Lovegrove
Since the publication of the book, several letters have been received which extend dates or provide additional information. It seems to give this information an early airing can do nothing but good; no doubt readers of 'Notebook' will be able to add further.

Extensions of the dates tend to close the gaps between successive types and point to positive dates of withdrawal of the old and issue of the new ones. Additional information derives from items that are rare but of which specimens have been held by one or two contributors for some time, unknown perhaps to others. Most exciting are the discoveries which emerge from material newly come to light, or given a searching appraisal instead of a mere passing glance.

Extensions of dates

JL List No.

Additional Items

Later to 3.4.1792
Earlier from 1.8.1800
Later to 3.7.1807
Earlier from 8.8.1807
On the 1.6 .1838 (cf. page 46 , para 1 )
Earlier from 12.7.1825
Earlier from 12.10.1785 and later to 15.12.1794
Later to 3.10 .1807
Earlier from 13.1.1808
Later to 6.1.1832
Earlier from 12.7.1825
Also on 3.9.1830

TREBLE POSTAGE, known used on a letter of 1794 as a penalty for breaches in the postal regulations.

PAR.PAPERS in manuscript on a front of the 16 March 1836. Formerly it was supposed that the official heading of such material was limited to PART.PROCEEDINGS or PAR.PRO. (see page 45 ).

NEW DISCOVERTES

Jh List No.

C/4a A horizontal oval 29mm wide with the Royal Crown bisecting the middle top of the oval. Within the oval frme and in descending order are the following symbols:
The word FREE as in the standard Franking stamps;

under that a two letter month code and date digits separated by a small, classical decorative swag; below the day date the four figures of the year the whole date is for the 13 May 1839;
right at the bottom under the year figures is a small 8mm rule, tapered at each end.

The letter has the manuscript heading 0.H.M.S. and is addressed to

```
G. Stewart Esq. J.P.,
Police Magistrate,
Goulburn.
```

In the bottom left hand comer is the word Treasury and again the date.
There are no other handstamps but the one described and a scrawl over the Treasury detail includes the word Ship. This is relevant as Goulburn was in 1839 one of the new settlements in New South Wales. The back of the letter is missing so it is impossible to conclude that the whole item did not bear some other stamp beside the Free one in evidence, but the possibility does arise that here we have a special stamp which authorised Free postage BEYOND THE FRANKING LIMITS OF THE UK SHORES.

## A Reminder.........

Stationery embossed in albino with the word FRES is known from about 1841 and survived in various decorative forms at least until 1853. It has a negative importance as being distinctly nothing to do with the Free Franks. When adhesives were first introduced it was a habit of writers to put the word Free somewhere on the front of the letters to indicate that beyond the adhesive no further postage charge was due. To meet a new market stationery was made which was embossed with the word FREE either on its own or as part of a design which incorporated the word FREE beneath a space for the adhesive. The design was floral and sometimes included a Crown over the top.

These iterss appear to have been mainly used in an Irish context although some are known from the UK and one at least from the Channel Islands. They are perhaps more widespread than is generally known among collectors and a later and more pendantic variety is known with the word PREPAID supplanting FREF. The point of including the Free Stationery here is to remind readers of what has been written many years ago on the subject and avoid any confusion that might arise with the Franking System.

$$
-0=0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0=0-0-0-0
$$

## A DOCKWRA PLAQUR.....

London collectors will no doubt be interested to learn that the Corporation of London have been persuaded to put up a plaque in Lime Street to commemorate that close by was the Chief Penny Post Office of William Dockwra, this due to the efforts of Tom Todd.

## THE INLAND BRANCH NUMBER ONE

From A. Bruce Auckland comes the item illustrated below, on which a few comments.
The shape of the cancelling stamp is not that of the normal diamond but is contained within a square cut apertuxe with the three central bars. The backstamping provides a wide selection with the House of Commons Library embossed 'seal' lending support to the parliamentary attribution. A quite uncommon Examiners' mariss is the 3 in circle (A \& H fig. 1861).


In Notebook 18 we carried an introductory article on this subject and before too much effort was put into gathering data Messrs Hodgson and Sedgewick published. a very full account of these stamps. Permission has been very kindly given to reprint the section relating to London, namely Chapter V, pp 38-41 inclusive. Those who wish to extend their source information should obtain a copy of the book:
The Scottish Additionsl Halpenny Mail Tax 1813-1839, by
Kenneth Hodgson and W.A. Sedgewick, published by
Jonathan Partridge, P.O. Box No.84, Sheffield S11AZ (tel: 0742-302941) £1.40

| Type | Size in m Ht I Wth | Color | Recorded Earliest | Dates Latest | Rarity | Fig |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IA | $37 \times 17.5$ | Black | 17.6.13 | 3.7 .13 | D | 115 |
| IA | 36 x 18 | Black | 23.6.13 | 8. 9.17 | D | 116 |
| IA | $36.5 \times 18$ | Black | 4. 8.13 | 16. 2.17 | C | 117 |
| IC | $23.5 \times 19$ | Black | 13.4.16 | 12. 8.17 | B | 118 |
| IIIA | $20.5 \times 20.5$ | Black | 8. 2.17 | 21. 9.21 | A. | 119 |
| IIIA | $18.5 \times 17$ | Black | 21. 4.20 | 30.10 .24 | A | 120 |
| IIIA | 20 z 20 | Black | 13. 1.23 | 1.12 .24 | A | 121 |
| IIIB | $18.5 \times 11$ | Black | 13.12.24 | 21.3 .27 | B | 122 |
| IIIB | $18.5 \times 12$ | Black | 10.7.28 | 22.11 .31 | B | 123 |
| IIIB | $14.5 \times 9$ | Black | 31.7.28 | 24. 7.29 | E | 124 |

One of the smallest Add. Halfpennies.
Use probably restricted to redirected mail.
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The Additional Halfpenny Mail Tax, continued........

| Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Size in war } \\ & \mathrm{Ht} x \text { Wth } \end{aligned}$ | Color | Earliest Recorded | Latest <br> Dates | Raxity | Fig |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IIIB | 19.5 x 12 | Black | 11.11 .28 | 4.5.30 | B | 125 |
| Tall | ig. 1 <br> 18 $(7.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ | ); 2 n Black <br> Blue <br> Dirty <br> Green | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 14.3 .30 \\ 13 . & 6.32 \\ 15.12 .32 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { frame } \\ & 25.4 .31 \\ & 24.7 .32 \\ & 7.1 .33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | 126 |
| The Blues are not a true blue but a definite transition from black-blue to the distinctive dirty green. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { IIIB } \\ & (\mathrm{i}) \end{aligned}$ | $19 \times 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dirty } \\ & \text { Green } \end{aligned}$ | 25. 2.33 | 29.6.34 | D | 127 |
| IIIB | $18 \times 12$ | Dirty |  |  |  | 128 |
| This stamp suffered a great deal of frame damage and by April 1835 the top line is almost all missing also the lower part of the left hand frame line. <br> Towards the end of its use the frame was in all probability repaired as the latest recorded copy shows a full frame line. <br> Fig. 1 alrost touches the top frame line before the damage; fig. 2 large and distinctive. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { IIIB } \\ & (\mathrm{ii}) \end{aligned}$ | 16.5 - 12.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dirty } \\ & \text { Green } \end{aligned}$ | 27. 1.36 | 19. 9.38 | B | 129 |
| The fraction bar now goes from top right to bottom left corners without actually touching |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { IIIB } \\ (\mathrm{ii}) \end{array}$ | $17 \times 13.5$ | Dirty <br> Green | 13. 2.36 | 9. 4.36 | c | 130 |
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The Additional Halfpenny Mail Tax, continued.....

| Typo | Size in max Ht \% | Color | Recorded Earliest | Dates Latest | Rarity | Fig |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IIIB (ii) | $16.5 \times 12$ | Dirty Grean | 28. 9.37 | 21.7.38 | B | 131 |
| IVB |  | Dirty <br> Grean | 26.11 .38 | $29.11 .39$ | c | $132$ |

This completes the London Handstamps and is the only type without a frame from london.


Fic 131

\$16 132

## Notes

Type IIIA Frame wider than 18 wo
Fraction line slopes from right to left
IIIB Vertical rectangular frame narrower than 18 man.
Fraction line slopes from right to lefte
IA Vertical rectangulax frame, taller than 25m No dividing line between Addl. and $\frac{1}{2}$ Fraction line horizontal

IC Vertical rectangular frame, shorter than 25m No dividing line betwean Addl. and $\frac{1}{2}$ Praction line horizontal

IVB Without a frame
Frection line slopes from right to left Raxity Scale: This runs A to $F$, commonest to rarest
CANCELLLATION QUERIGS, frow A. Pernins


The four items illustrated above bave proved difficult to trace and I would be glad to have readers help in identifying their use. The $T$ in circle is probably a Tsae stamp, but it has been applied to the 3 a adhesive; from other stamps the item can be dated 7.8.84.

Those with the solid bar scross the middle seam familiar, but again they elude me. I suspect C.T.O. does Wor mear " cancelled to order i!

$$
-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0=0-0-0=0=0-0=0
$$

UNDERPAID MAIL FROM ARGENTINA, by Ian Warn


I have just acquired the post card, illustrated above, which I am sure will be of interest to some LPHG members.

It was posted in Argentina, franked with a 5 c adhesive; this amount was insufficient and the ' T ' stamp applied with a $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s} 002$ before despatch to England.

On arrival in London a postage due mark of $1 d$ was applied, presumably before the ' Au verse ' in $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ and deficiency amount of 002 was observed. On inspection, the adhesive on the picture side would be confirmed and, assuming the amount to be two decimes underpaid, the double deficiency of $\frac{1}{2} d$ was as nearly correct as possible.

The unusual feature lies in the use of the barred oval A01 to cancel the $1 d$ due mark. The previous association of AO1 with Kingston Jamaica is well known, but Brunel makes reference to only one other use on a 1 d reply post card, apparently posted in France, addressed to London, and dated 1903.

Unhappily there is no dating of the card above but it seems fair to put it into the first decade, to judge the general character. That there are only two uses of this stamp in London seems unlikely and news of others known or owned by readers would be welcome. One might extend this request to include any of the stamps at first allocated to overseas offices.

Editor's Late Extra......
Taken from " Philately of the Anglo-Boer War 1899-1902" by Stephen G.Rich.e.

[^0]
## WEIGHING MACHINE AT THE GENERAL

 POST-OFFICE.THE object of this machine is to enable the Post-office authorities at St. Martin's-le-Grand to weigh, en masse, the letters and nowspapers sent daily from the office to the provinces-a work hitherto done in detail, at much cost of time to the duty. When it is borne in mind that not less than $71,000,000$ newspapers per annum-or about 200,000 every day-pass through the Post-office, and that the average
weight of each is not less than threo ounces; and that the number of "book packets," exclusive of newspapers, which now pass throurh the London office, is at the rate of about $1,400,000$ per aanum, being an 1854, and thore than a miln n, or of 10 oss.- it is quite clear that the application of machinery to this purpose must prove a desirable acquisition.
This new machine is nlaced opposite the superintendent's office at the north end of the building, in the track of the vehicles on leaving the yard. The platform is 12 ft . by 8 ft .; it is of cast iron, and is surrounded by a cast-iron kerb, which is secured to granite blocks by guard against their slipping and wearing away by the action of the guard against their slipping and wearing away by the action of the
vehicles passing over it. The platform and its kerbs are formed with projecting steads and ribs in such manner as to prevent the feet of horses from slipping, in whatever direction they are driven over it.
The steelyard which indicates the weights, is sustained by an iron frame composed of two pillars with connected buse and entab-
lature, and is placed across the window of the office in the Guards lature, and is placed across the window of the office in the Guards Department; where suitable desk and other fixtures are arranged for convenience of the clerk in " $h$; $\quad$,
1ts construction and workius,
1ts construction and workiub, and takes up so littie room as to offer no obstruction to the ordinary work of the office. The operation of weighing is brief and exact.
The patentees and manufacturers are Messrs. Henry Pooley and Son, of 89, Fleet-street, and the Albion Works, Liverpool.

the post-office welghing machine- - the van passing over the tram.


TII: TVEICHING MLIIILNE AT THE GENERAL POST-OFFTCE.

## SQUARED CIRCLES OF LONDON FROM THE POST OFFICE PROOF IMPRESSIOM. BOOKS,

## by Ken Willington

The following list records all the London Squared Cixcles shown in the relevant P.O. Proof Impression Books - Volume 39, 43 and 46. The Types referred to in Vol. 39 are the experimental strikes shown in " Squared Circle Postmarks " by Stitt-Dibden published by the British Postmark Society - henceforth referred to as $S$ - D. The other strikes are all Type 1, with one sub-type, Type 1E (with the index number inside the circle at the bottom , see $S$ - $D$, page 9 . It will be seen there are several strikes not previously recorded with FINCHKEY/EAST END SO.N being a completely new sub-office.

Unfortunately this cannot be a complete list as the microfilms of the Proof Impression Books for London end with 1892 and there are undoubtedly further unrecorded items still to be found.

I shall be pleased to record your discoveries: please send to

> 6, Three Corner Drive, 01d Catton, Norwich NR6 7HA

The illustrations are taken from the Squared Circle Postmarks, by Stitt Dibden, recently republished by Harry Hayes, 48 Trafalgar Street, Batley, Yorkshire, as shown in Notebook No. 24.


Volume 39


Squared Circles of London, continued......


Squared Circles of London, continued........


Squared Circles of London, continued......

| Page | Detail | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. of } \\ \text { Stamps } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Date | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 285 | LONDON WC |  | 26. 5.91 |  |
| 290 | ALDGate bo/E | (2) | 25. 6.91 |  |
| 303 | WALTHAMSTOW |  | 1. 9.91 |  |
| 307 | FINSBURY PARK SO/N. | (2) | 15. 9.91 |  |
| 310 311 | HOMERTON SO/E |  | 19. 9.91 |  |
| 311 | LEYTON SO |  | 26. 9.91 |  |
| 318 321 | TOTTENHAM SO |  | 4.11.91 |  |
| 321 322 | WALWORTH SE |  | 14.11 .91 |  |
| 323 | NORWOOD SE | (2) | 20.11 .91 |  |
| 326 | WEST NORWOOD SE |  | 14.12 .91 | Type 1,not in S |
| 327 | PADDINGTON W |  | 19.12 .91 |  |
| 332 | LONDON W/18.19.33 |  | 20. 2.92 | Type 18 |
| 333 | LONDON W/34.36.37.38.41 |  | 20. 2.92 | Type 1E |
| 334 | LONDON W/55.57 |  | 20. 2.92 | Type 1E |
| 339 | LONDON NW | (6) | 30. 3.92 |  |
| 340 | LONDON NW | (10) | 30. 3.92 |  |
| 341 | LONDON NW | (3) | 30. 3.92 |  |
| 348 | PADDINGTON W |  | 7. 5.92 |  |
| 353 | LONDON E/11.12.13.14.15 |  | 21. 5.92 | Type 1E, 15 not in |
| 354 | LONDON $\mathbf{\$ / 1 6}$ |  | 21. 5.92 | Type 1E S-D |
| 368 | EALING DEAN/W |  | 23. 9.92 |  |
| 370 | CATFORD SO/SE |  | 1.11 .92 |  |
| 371 | FOREST GATE SO/E |  | 11.11 .92 |  |
| 374 | VICTORIA DOCKS SO/E |  | 18.11 .92 |  |
|  | MANOR PARK SO |  | 21.11 .92 |  |

```
-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-
```

A FOREIGN OFFICE QUGRY......(Notebook 27, pege 12)
First a text correction; the cover description should read.." the A.T.F. cancelled by C.T.F........*

Although not a British postal marking, GB collectors may well have examples which call for a ' write-up '.

Alf Kirk received advice from the Secretary of the France \& Colonies P.S. that a French Postmark Catalogue published in 1903 states the initials to be: Austria Transit Francais; E.T.F. = Espagne Transit Francais and PB Pas-bas for the Netherlands.

Further correspondence with Dr Wyttenbach confirms the original recording in the following terms:

The CTF and ATF were stamps used at the French Ports for incoming mail from England (A) or the overseas Colonies (C), while in transit to other European countries (e.g. Switzerland); it is a TRANSIT MARK. As soon as I can locate a more recent reference article it should be possible to have a fuller note of the stampe.

FOREIGN SECTION HANDSTAMP.....
It is quite amazing how of ten one can have a curious aspect of postal marking in front of one before anything registers. Such has been the case with what had been taken as just another FS handstamp.

The proof impression books of Post Office Records are, alas, far from complete and the gaps, generally between the $1890^{\prime}$ s and $1930^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ give rise to many difficulties. Add to this the lack of functional designation with each issue and the speculative power of postal historians is given freedom to an alarming degree. However, Jeremy Greenwood in locating the EC stamp receiving book has signalled one source of data namely, locate these records for all the offices.

Pending this happy, though not hopeful, outcome for the Foreign Section, let us speculate a little on the function of the FS 20 stamp. Frore the Pew copies in the range available at present, a distinct common factor emerges. The list is:

1. On a post card cut out, but apparently returned from abroad
13.6.1911
2. Similar item
24.10.1911
3. WC District bulk mailing to India, returned to sender
15.10.1935
4. Similar item
5. 1.1936
6. Registered item to Barcelona, returned
7. 7.1937

These five have the stamp reading LONDON at the top, FS 20 at the foot
6. Air mail to Canary Islands, returned
9.11 .1938

This item has LONDON FS at the top with 20 at the foot, illustrated below.
It seems too much of a coincidence that all these returned items, and that is why they were collected, should have the FS 20 stamp as the receiving mark. Would readers check their own returned material for the same - hopefully - or another FS number.


## Herewith My Frank,

One piece of new evidence in the $0^{\prime}$ code story has far reaching implications. A front of 1819 has turned up in auction - a year not previously represented.

Dated from London the 4 February, it is addressed to Mr. John Mitchell at Tewkesbury. The franking signature is also that of J Mitchell, in the same hand as the address, and it is clearly aself addressed letter.

This is the third of such items to be found, the other two being of John Atkins in July 1802 and Robert Williams in 1803. From 1820 onwards all the 0 ' code examples discovered, thus far, are addressed to persons other than the signat ories.

It is now possible to venture upon certain limited lines of speculation, based on the above.

The ' 0 ' code system, whatever its purpose was, appears to have origins in the early $1800^{\circ} s$, to have retained a simple form of s.a.e. principles up to 1819 and to have undergone some change in 1820. Following this change, it further appears that the earlier items from 1820 to 1830 are all addressed to professional houses. Then, for a brief moment, a Mrs. Wright appears as the addressee - hardly a professional in the strict sense of the word, but mysteriously it turns out she is not resident at the address or in the district of the address - a feature whieh becomes a common factor among many later items.

In 1831 comes the first example involvirag the unequivocal agent in the person of the paid civil servant, William Ince. From then on the patterns of relationship between senders and receivers in increasingly complex, though with a continuing preponderance of agency at work in a wider sense. The number of ' $0^{\prime}$ code specimens increases as the years enter the middle and late $1830^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$, a fact which may or may not be an accident of survival, but in the event the pattern of complexity has the stamp of a rather drawn out chain-letter.

Returning once more to the early self-addressed items it is important to ponder on how they probably worked. It is unlikely that the sender knew the details which the letter or cover was to contain, othexwise the whole a.a.e. principle he was using would sexve no purpoge. He could just as easily forego the official device and carry the stuff home in his head or by a note in his pocket.

So in all likelihood the " 0 " code fronts derive from some kind of cover or wrapper. Whether they remained in that form or became used as a folded single sheet we cannot tell for lack of evidence, axcept that in the case of one 1840 itere it has survived in the form of a front and part back flap of an envelope. At least in that case the message was on a separate sheet.

No one will be much wiser about the ' 0 ' code until such a separate sheet ia found. Meanwhile it is useful to record cautious theory, even if that turns out to be mistaken in the end. By trying a number of logical projections of evidence we may strile upon the right path.

## Dear Father

I Wright you these few lines to Inform you that I have (.....) you down In the Ship this time which I Beg Parden for But I Dont think I shall Like to go aney More I will Be much Obliged to you if you will Call as I want to see you Purticular and I will Be obliged to you if you will Settel will (?with) Mr Sames as we Doe want some things for wat coming into this world as it will \& do Be Long first please god (?) and I am Sorey that things is turned out so Bad as they are at Preasend But if you could Doe any thing for me as to (...) Some place I will Return you in aney thanks for it Mrs Wade sends her Best Resticts you Both

I Remain

ps \begin{tabular}{ll}
Please to come your <br>
self or send a letter to me

$\quad$ your Dutiful Son 

W Wade
\end{tabular}

The response was written on the reverse.........

## Wm

I am sorry I am under the necessity of writing again to you your words \& promises are not to be taken or trused to the last time I was under the painful necessity of writing to you about your Last Bad conduct wherby you as much disoblige your Fahter \& Freind you than promise your Father \& me also that for the future your conduct should be such has might gain our respect \& esteen we gave you credit for what you said \& should have been happy is you had prove'd yourself so But 0 to your shame \& our greif you have prove yourself unworthy our esteem or regard nay of our displeasure \& your Father - so far condesended to take you in to his favour \& place you in a suitiation Wherby you might you might maintain'd yourself \& Family with Credit \& your Father (had you behave well) would have given you every Incoragement - But alass sorry I am to say your likes the Dog to his Vomit or the sow to her wollowing in the mire - you are putting it out of your own power \& your Friends to do you any good wile your given to that cursed Sin of Drinking for you thereby Incompasetate yourself for Business - has to your talking of your Fathers getting you place what place to you think your fit for - when your Father has put such a trust in your name \& you cut such an Unfaithfull \& Base past - for Shame - Willm let it never more be said a son of such a kind Father should set such an unbecoming a part its well for you that I am not your Father Instead of an Unkle else you might have expected seveer treament - But this you must expect if you do not mind Your Business you will in a short time come to miseary \& want you are now got the concerns of a Family \& therefore you are not to Act a Boys part - if you have any value for yours soul or Body for your Wife or Friends for Gods sake abstain from Drink beg of God to give you Grace for this End if you do not you are Ruin'd for Ever Remember what the wise man said the Way of transgressors.....
I am.....

Editorts Noto.......
There was but one address and set of postal markings on the ' double 'entire, that of William Wade Esq, Almshouses, Mile End Road and carried a round top 3 and a date stamp for 26 th. June 1806. It could be that the son was ' named ' for his father, so the direction of the movement through the post in unclear. What cannot be disputed was the use, or rather abuse, of English by both the son and the'Unkle;' replying for the father.

## THE BATTERSEA CANCELLLATION, by Michael English

Since writing an article about the peculiar cuts found in cancellations of Battersea around the turn of this century (Notebook No.8), I have obtained the collection of these items from the late Vic Swann and can now extend the dates and types mentioned.

The Wandsworth District Office was moved to Battersea and renamed the Battersea District Office on 19th. November, 1897. The first three handstamps - all identical - had been sent out from the Chief Office on 23rd. Jume, 1897 (fig. 1). In the Proof Impression Book the entry has a manuscript note: "S.W.D.O. in error - sent to Wandsworth by Postmaster S.W.D.O." "

I have found no evidence of the use of these handstamps before they were marked. They are first seen with 1,2 or 3 cuts, surely to denote " ownership" of the three stamps: before the advent of numbers at the foot of the stamp.

| A B C | 1 cut <br> 2 cuts <br> 3 cuts | $\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { fig. } 2 \\ \text { fig.3 } \\ \text { fig.4 }\end{array}\right.$ ) | $\begin{array}{r} 23.2 .1898-25.1 .1900 \\ 28.8 .1897-6.2 .1900 \\ 5.8 .1897-3.8 .1900 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \binom{\text { cuts inner circle }}{\text { cuts both circles }} \\ & \text { cuts inner circle and } \\ & \text { SOMETIMES outer circle }) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| After 1899 a stamp with NO cuts appears - not recorded in Proof Impression Books, so presumably a recut of A . |  |  |  |  |
| D | No cuts | ( fig.1) | $3.10 .1899-9.10 .1900$ |  |


fig. 2


| E | 2 cuts (fig.5) | 28.10.1910 ( 1 item only) | probable recut of $B$; this is on 1d.lilac so could be date error for 1901. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F | $\binom{3$ cuts }{15 items }$($ fig.6 $)$ | 19.9.1901-1.3.1905 | similar to $C$, but only 24 mm and different cuts. |
| The series of stamps with BATMERSEA S.W. at the top and numbers at the bottom was issued, commencing in 1900. Two of the number codes, 1 and 4, were marked with a 'tick' (figs. 7 and 8 ). |  |  |  |
| G | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 'Ticks' } \\ & \text { Code } 4 \end{aligned} \quad(\text { fig. } 7)$ | 14. 6.1906-21.12.1909 | issued 5. 5.1904 |
| H | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 'Ticks' } \\ & \text { Code } 1 \\ & (2 \text { items) } \\ & \text { The } 1921 \text { example has } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { 15. } 9.1910-9.6 .1921$ <br> recut to show "S.W. 11 | issued 31.3.1900 known used unmarked 24. 9.1903-28. 8.1905. |

To summarise these dates:

| 1 cut | E | 23.2.1898-25.1.1899 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 cuts | $\begin{aligned} & B \\ & E \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.8 .1897-6.2 .1900 \\ & 28.10 .1910- \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 cuts | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.8 .1897-3.8 .1900 \\ 19.9 .1901-1.3 .1905 \end{array}$ |
| Ticks | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{G} \\ & \mathrm{H} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.6 .1906-21.12 .1909 \\ & 15 \cdot 9.1910-9.6 .1921 \end{aligned}$ |


figa 3 and 6 from the original article

The Battersea Cancellation, continued.......
The number series are known, without cuts, with the undernoted codes:

| Wording | Code | Dates Recorded | Proof Impression <br> Book |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BATTERSEA.S.W. | 1 | $24.9 .1903-28.8 .1905$ | 31.3 .1900 |
|  | 2 | $2.3 .1905-31.10 .1910$ | 31.3 .1900 |
|  | 3 | $19.10 .1904-25.3 .1916$ | 28.3 .1907 |
|  | 4 | $11.4 .1904-2.5 .1910$ | 5.5 .1904 |
|  | 7 | $22.3 .1908-19.9 .1910$ | 3.3 .1906 |
|  | 8 | $30.3 .1908-31.8 .1912$ | 13.12 .1906 |
| BATTERSEA.S.O.S.W. | 5 | - | 3.1 .1907 |
| BATTERSEA.S.W.11. | 7 | $30.6 .1908-11.5 .1910$ | 1.8 .1905 |
|  | 7 | $20.1 .1908-20.6 .1910$ | 1.8 .1905 |

In conclusion, observant readers may have noted the lack of reference to figs 3 and 6 in the original article. These remain solitary recorded items in an earlier article and I now conclude they are wrong illustrations of type $B$ (fig. 3 ) and type G (fig. 7 ).

Should you have any extensions of date or types, please let me have details for inclusion in the record and future publication.

```
-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-
```


## OFFICE INITIAL STAMES OF THE LONDON DISTRICT POST

These appeared in Notebook 17, page 12 following an article in Number 16. An ealier dating is advised for fig. 12 Charing Cross, namely 12th November 1850

## QRMOND/STREFTT........

An entire dated 1708 addressed to Leeds carrying a bishop and the straight line stamp which has caused something of a stir recently. According to notes there are now 3 or 4 of these now known. That such a hitherto unrecorded item should have yielded, say, four copiea gives slight pause for thought; that they are apparently addressed to different parts of the country and have been brought together again may be taken as illustrating the lonely furrow some collectors follow, to the great loss: to postal history research.

Diligent enquiries have so far failed to produce identification of the office site and this again may well be shown on some unregarded map in a collection of one not interested in postal history.

If nothing else the moral of the story is to record findings as they appear, even if publication is restricted.

AUCTION RESULT 17TH. JULY, 1976

| Lot | Q | Lot | 0 | Lot | Q | Lot |  | Lot | 0 |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1.00 | 6 | 1.00 | 9 | 2.60 | 10 | 5.10 | 11 | 3.00 |
| 12 | 5.80 | 19 | 3.00 | 21 | 5.00 | 22 | 2.00 | 25 | 3.50 |
| 26 | 3.80 | 27 | 2.80 | 29 | 3.50 | 32 | 4.00 | 34 | 1.00 |
| 40 | 3.00 | 41 | 2.60 | 44 | 1.00 | 47 | 12.00 | 48 | 1.00 |
| 49 | 1.60 | 50 | 1.60 | 51 | 1.00 | 52 | 3.10 | 53 | 1.25 |
| 55 | 3.00 | 56 | 2.70 | 57 | 2.10 | 58 | 2.60 | 59 | 3.00 |
| 60 | 2.60 | 61 | 6.50 | 62 | 8.30 | 63 | 14.50 | 65 | 2.00 |
| 66 | 8.00 | 67 | 3.00 | 70 | 3.00 | 71 | 1.00 | 72 | 9.00 |
| 73 | 1.00 | 74 | 2.50 | 75 | 2.70 | 79 | 1.25 | 82 | 2.30 |
| 83 | 2.10 | 84 | 1.00 | 85 | 1.00 | 89 | 2.40 | 90 | 1.30 |
| 91 | 1.60 | 93 | 7.50 | 96 | 4.00 | 97 | 1.00 | 98 | 3.00 |
| 99 | 1.50 | 100 | 1.50 | 101 | 4.10 | 103 | 1.00 | 106 | 1.00 |
| 107 | 1.00 | 108 | 2.00 | 113 | 1.60 | 115 | 1.50 | 117 | 1.50 |
| 118 | 5.10 | 119 | 1.50 | 120 | 1.50 | 122 | 1.00 | 123 | 3.30 |
| 126 | 7.20 | 127 | 3.00 | 129 | 5.00 | 130 | 1.50 | 131 | 2.80 |
| 132 | 2.60 | 134 | 1.50 | 137 | 2.70 | 139 | 1.40 | 140 | 1.35 |
| 141 | 1.25 | 143 | 1.25 | 146 | 2.50 | 147 | 1.90 | 148 | 2.60 |
| 149 | 1.25 | 152 | 2.60 | 153 | 1.65 | 154 | 4.40 | 155 | 1.80 |
| 156 | 2.20 | 157 | 4.00 | 158 | 1.50 | 159 | 2.00 | 162 | 2.00 |
| 165 | 1.40 | 166 | 1.75 | 170 | 1.00 | 171 | 7.00 | 172 | 10.10 |
| 173 | 4.50 | 174 | 5.00 | 175 | 6.00 | 176 | 13.10 | 179 | 2.10 |
| 180 | 2.10 | 181 | 2.50 | 182 | 4.10 | 192 | 1.90 | 193 | 2.90 |
| 194 | 3.00 | 195 | 3.00 | 196 | 2.90 | 197 | 8.10 | 198 | 1.25 |
| 199 | 2.50 | 200 | 2.30 | 201 | 1.70 | 202 | 1.00 | 203 | 2.50 |
| 204 | 1.00 | 205 | 1.25 | 207 | 5.20 | 208 | 1.00 | 209 | 1.00 |
| 210 | 1.00 | 211 | 2.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Book bids $\mathcal{L} 234.90$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Room } & 143.50 & \text { £ } 378.40\end{array}$

Lots not listed were unsold or failed to reach the reserve.
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[^0]:    " Pixie and coworkers mention the use of the old grid canceller No. AO1, used at Kingston Jamaica, from 1858 to 1884 and then returned to London, for cancelling out due ratings on Boer War soldiers' mail. "

